

Specification

Double-Layer Capacitor, Use Of The Same, And Method For Increasing The Maximum Charges Of Double-Layer Capacitor Electrodes

Capacitors, such as double-layer capacitors, are also used in applications with high output requirements, because they can be implemented with high capacitances with simultaneously very low ESR. When used as temporary energy storage, for example, double-layer capacitors must emit or absorb, within relatively short periods of time of a few seconds or less, high currents and, associated with this, high energy. The operating voltages of double-layer capacitors generally amount to only a few volts. However, because applications usually require significantly higher voltages, multiple double-layer capacitors are frequently connected in series to form a capacitor battery. Because of the large number of individual capacitors, this means that constructing a capacitor battery is often very cost-intensive. For this reason, capacitors with the highest possible operating voltages are in demand. The later that critical corrosion currents are reached during charging of the capacitor, the higher its operating voltage. A high operating voltage requires a higher output density and energy density of the capacitor. The rated voltage of a capacitor is upwardly limited by the difference between the corrosion potentials of the capacitor electrodes and the electrolyte. If an electrode is at a potential within the corrosion range, electrochemical reactions can disadvantageously lead to corrosion of the electrodes or to disintegration of the electrodes, a process in which gas development can occur, significantly reducing the serviceable life of the capacitor. To avoid this,

capacitors are generally used only at operating voltages at which the resulting individual electrode potentials remain outside the corrosion potential.

The goal of the present invention, therefore, is to provide a double-layer capacitor
5 with increased output density and energy density that can be operated at higher voltages.

This goal is achieved with a double-layer capacitor according to claim 1.
Advantageous embodiments of the capacitor as well as its use and a method for increasing
the maximum, potential-dependent charges of the capacitor electrodes are the object of
10 additional claims.

It is known from the prior art that the maximum charge of a capacitor, which,
advantageously, should be as large as possible, depends on its maximum operating
voltage, that is, on the difference in the maximum potential that can be applied between
15 the two electrodes of the capacitor.

The inventors have found that, depending on the electrode system used, the
individual double-layer capacitor electrodes, in the case of opposite polarity, that is, when
connected as positively or negatively charged electrodes, can surprisingly absorb different
20 maximum charge amounts. In this connection, the maximum absorbable charge is any
charge amount that can be supplied to the electrode until the critical potential is reached.

This electric characteristic of the electrode is referred to in the following as the maximum charge.

5 This effect, newly discovered here, is apparently attributable, on the one hand, to the different distances between the corrosion potentials of the electrodes and their resting potentials and, on the other hand, to the different behaviors of the anions and cations of the electrolyte solution in the electrochemical double layer. In this connection, the different volumes of the solvated, positively and negatively charged ions play as important a role as their mobility in the electrolyte solution and the charge number (valence) of the
10 respective ions.

The effect of different maximum charges of the electrodes also occurs with oppositely charged electrodes that consist of the same electrode material and have the same dimensions (see Fig. 2C). This surprising discovery of differences in the
15 dependencies of the capacitance of the two oppositely charged electrodes on the applied potential means that the electrodes in a double-layer capacitor, in their charged state, have different potential distances from their resting potential. The reversibly exchangeable maximum charge of a double-layer capacitor depends solely on the difference in electrode potential in the charged state at which an electrode first reaches the corrosion potential.
20 The second electrode, in this connection, is still removed from its corrosion potential, meaning that it could still absorb additional charge. As a result, the volume of material used for this second electrode does not have to be used entirely for energy storage.

Because conventional, commercially obtainable double-layer capacitors usually contain electrodes that are made of the same electrode material and have the same dimensions, the energy densities of conventional double-layer capacitors and the amount of material required for each stored electric charge are therefore not optimal.

5

The inventors have found that the different maximum charges of the electrode are not only affected by the polarity and the electrolyte, but also by the dimensions, the design, the mass as well as the surface area and surface structures of the electrodes. This leads to the possibility of matching the maximum charges of the electrode, which differ at the respective corrosion potential, which is not the case in conventional double-layer capacitors.

10

For this reason, the invention describes a double-layer capacitor in which at least a first and second electrode are present, both electrodes being in contact with an electrolyte. In the double-layer capacitor, the maximum charges, which are dependent on the polarity of the electrodes and determined relative to a reference electrode, are matched to one another. Electrodes, within the meaning of the invention, are defined as electron-conducting materials that are in contact with an electrolyte, electrolytes being defined as media that exclusively conduct ions.

15

20

The advantage of a double-layer capacitor according to the invention over a conventional double-layer capacitor is that the amount of material used per stored electric charge is reduced.

5 In a conventional double-layer capacitor, the maximum charges of the individual electrodes, which are determined relative to a reference electrode, are not matched, because it was previously not known that the individual electrodes have different maximum charges that depend on the polarity of the electrode, the electrode material and the design. Thus, for example, conventional double-layer capacitor electrodes differ in
10 terms of their charge, by 10% at a difference in potential of ± 1 V relative to the resting potential and by 12% at a difference in potential of ± 1.5 V. This effect results, for example, in a difference of at least 39% in the amounts of the individual potentials of the electrodes relative to the resting potential, at a capacitor voltage of 2.4 V.

15 The electrodes of a double-layer capacitor according to the invention have different capacitance-forming surface areas. This means that the maximum charges of the electrodes are matched to one another by using different electrode surface areas or different surface structures. The structure of the inner surface of an electrode determines the electrochemical double layer that forms in electrochemical double-layer capacitors
20 during charging of the capacitor.

In addition, in a variant of a double-layer capacitor according to the invention, in which the electrodes are made of the same electrode material, the masses of the respective electrodes can be different. This means that in the case of the same electrode materials but different masses, such as in the case of electrodes with different layer thicknesses, the sizes of the inner surfaces of the electrodes are also different, resulting in maximum charges matched to one another.

In an advantageous embodiment of a double-layer capacitor according to the invention, both electrodes of the capacitor have a charge Q , in the maximum charged state, which is indicated by the following equation:

wherein

$$Q = V^+ Q_{V \max}^+ = V^- Q_{V \max}^-$$

$$\text{where } Q_{V \max}^+ = \int_0^{\varphi_+^{\max}} c_v(\varphi) d\varphi$$

$$\text{and } Q_{V \max}^- = \int_0^{\varphi_-^{\max}} -c_v(\varphi) d\varphi = Q_{V \max}^-$$

wherein $Q_{V \max}^+$ and $Q_{V \max}^-$ are the respective maximum, volume-specific charge densities of the positive and negative electrodes, V^+ and V^- the respective volumes of the positive and negative electrodes, φ is the electric potential, φ_-^{\min} and φ_+^{\max} are the electric potentials at which corrosion of the electrodes does not yet take place, and c_v is the

volume-specific, differential capacitance in the potential range valid for the respective electrode.

The products of the respective maximum volume-specific charge densities and the
 5 respective electrode volumes, that is, the maximum charges of both electrodes, are
 approximately equal, so that the material volume of both electrodes can essentially be
 used entirely for energy storage. The maximum, volume-specific charge densities Q_{vmax}^+
 and Q_{vmax}^- of the electrodes, within the meaning of the invention, are also material-
 specific and indicate the same maximum charge per unit of volume of the electrode that
 10 can be supplied to the electrode without it reaching the corrosion potential. The critical
 charge densities and/or potentials can be determined by a person skilled in the art using
 leakage current measurements (see Figures 2A and 2B), for example.

In another variant of the double-layer capacitor according to the invention, the two
 15 electrodes can be made of the same electrode material, wherein, in the maximum charged
 state of the capacitor, the product of the mass M^+ or M^- of the electrode and its critical,
 mass-specific charge density $Q_{M,max}^+$ and $Q_{M,max}^-$ is approximately equal for both
 electrodes:

$$Q = Q_{max}^+ = M^+ Q_{M,max}^+ = M^- Q_{M,max}^- = Q_{max}^-$$

$$\text{with } Q_{M,max}^+ = \int_0^{\varphi_+} c_M(\varphi_+) d\varphi_+ \text{ and } Q_{M,max}^- = \int_0^{\varphi_-} c_M(\varphi_-) d\varphi_-$$

This means, as already described above, that the maximum charges of the two electrodes are approximately equal, so that the volume of material used for the electrodes can be used almost entirely for energy storage.

5 The term matching, as it is used in the invention, advantageously refers to adjusting the different maximum charges of the electrodes of a double-layer capacitor until the respective corrosion potentials are reached, in such a way that their difference is smaller than in conventional double-layer capacitors, that is, is less than about 10% for standard operating voltages of about 2V, for example, and is less than about 12% for
10 operating voltages of 3V.

 The term matching, in this connection, can mean that, following determination of the maximum charges in the potential range that is negative relative to the reference electrode, using the measuring arrangement shown in Fig. 1A and 1B, for example, the
15 maximum charge of those electrodes whose maximum charge was lower in the measurements is increased. As already described, increasing the charge can be achieved, for example, by increasing the mass or the volume, or by modifying the chemical composition of the electrode. Double-layer capacitors according to the invention, which contain such matched electrodes, have higher charge densities and elevated operating
20 voltages when compared with conventional double-layer capacitors. In principle, however, it is also possible to reduce the maximum charge of those electrodes with the higher maximum charge, thereby matching them to the electrode with the lower maximum

charge. This can be achieved by reducing the size of the surface of this electrode. If the electrode materials are the same, a reduction in the mass and/or volume of this electrode is also possible. Double-layer capacitors according to the invention that contain such matched electrodes have higher operating voltages than conventional double-layer capacitors. Furthermore, because less electrode material is needed for one of the electrodes, these double-layer capacitors according to the invention are less expensive than conventional capacitors, while nonetheless providing the same capacitor capacitance.

In electrodes according to the invention with approximate equal maximum, potential-dependent charges, the changes can diverge from one another by up to 5%. This divergence is attributable, among other things, to measuring errors during determination of the maximum charge, which generally do not permit an exact determination of this variable.

In double-layer capacitors according to the invention, one of the electrodes can comprise carbon. Carbon electrodes bring about charge storage primarily through their large inner surface. The electrode material can be selected from a group of the following materials:

- a) carbon powder,
- b) carbon fibers, e.g., fabric, nonwoven fabric, paper or strands,
- c) de-metallized metal carbides,
- d) carbon aerogels,
- e) graphitic carbon,
- f) nanostructured carbon,

- g) carbon applied by means of physical vapor deposition (PVD) and/or chemical vapor deposition (CVD).

Carbon powder electrodes, which consist, for example, of carbon powder applied to aluminum foil, are especially advantageous, because it is especially easy to vary their layer thickness on the aluminum foil. In this manner, it is especially easy to implement electrodes according to the invention which, due to different thickness, for example, have approximately the same maximum charges. A large range of fabrics, for example, having, for example, different weaving structures, material thickness and material density, can be used as carbon fibers. Nonwoven fabrics or papers can also be used. Depending on their structure, carbon fibers have different volume-specific capacitances, different voltage stabilities and gassing tendencies. Carbon electrodes with a large surface can also be produced by removing metallic components from metal carbides (de-metallized metal carbides), for example. SiC or TiC, for example, may be used as metal carbides.

Carbon aerogels are monolithic, open-pore solid bodies with a large inner surface (more than 1500 m²/g, determined using the BET method), whose structural parameters during production in a sol-gel process can be varied within a wide range.

Also in the case of carbon electrodes consisting of graphitic carbon, very high volume-specific capacitances can be achieved in that, for example, the graphitic carbon, coke, for example, which can be derived from tar or petroleum, is baked with a base, such as potassium hydroxide, at high temperatures of approximately 700 to 850°. During this

process, the structure of the carbon is opened, also resulting in a very large surface, which can be used for electrostatic charge storage.

Nanostructured carbon exists in the form of so-called nanofilaments, which
5 typically have a diameter in the nanometer range and lengths in the micrometer range.

Carbon electrodes with large surfaces can also be produced by precipitating carbon out of the gas phase by means, for example, of Chemical Vapor Deposition (CVD). Ionized carbon particles can be applied in an electric field by means of Physical Vapor
10 Deposition (PVD).

It is also possible that at least one of the electrodes of a double-layer capacitor according to the invention is selected from a group consisting of conductive polymers, such as polyaniline, conductive ceramics, such as titanium nitride, and metals or metal
15 alloys, and has a large surface.

The electrolyte of a double-layer capacitor according to the invention can comprise a gel electrolyte and/or polymer electrolyte. It is also possible that the electrolyte is an electrolyte solution comprising organic and/or aqueous solvents, in which case a separator
20 is additionally arranged between the electrodes, said separator comprising, for example, paper, a polymer membrane or glass fibers.

Double-layer capacitors according to the invention that encompass different electrodes can also be implemented as a stack layer. In this case, both electrodes are structured as layers, the layered stack encompassing alternating first and second electrode layers with separators disposed between the layers. The separators and the electrodes are soaked in an electrolyte solution.

It is also possible to roll the first and second electrode, which are structured as a layer, along with separators disposed between them, into a capacitor coil. In the case, for example, of carbon electrodes applied to an aluminum foil, the electrodes are contacted by protruding sections of the aluminum foil. Instead of circular coils, flat coils with rectangular shapes are also possible.

In another variant, the double-layer capacitors according to the invention can also comprise pseudo-capacitors, in which case both electrodes comprise either metal oxides or conductive polymers. The metal oxide can, for example, comprise ruthenium oxide, iridium oxide or nickel oxide, and the electrically conductive polymer can, for example, comprise polypyrrol, polythiophene or polyaniline, or derivatives of these conductive polymers. In the case of pseudo-capacitors, pseudo-capacitances develop on the surface of the electrodes as a result of the movement of electric charges generated by oxidation and reduction processes at the electrodes.

Double-layer capacitors according to the invention can also be used in capacitor batteries. The advantage of capacitor batteries consisting of the capacitors according to the invention is that they are made of significantly fewer individual capacitors, because capacitors according to the invention have higher operating voltages than conventional capacitors.

The object of the invention is also a method for reducing the difference between the different maximum charges of a first and a second double-layer capacitor electrode with opposite charge. The method consists of the following steps:

- A) the corrosion-free potential window of the electrode material, as measured against a reference electrode, is determined;
- B) the maximum charges, as measured against a reference electrode, of the electrode materials of the first and second electrode are determined and then, in step
- C) the maximum charges of the two electrodes are matched.

In step A), a difference in potential between the first electrode and the reference electrode can be set, followed by measurement of the corrosion current. The allowable potential range is exceeded where this current exceeds a critical limit value, such as 10 $\mu\text{A}/\text{cm}^2$. Then, in step B), the electrode is brought to the critical potential within a short period of time, such as 1 minute, and the charge needed for this purpose is determined by integration of the current. This process is then repeated on the other end of the allowable potential range. This measurement can be performed either with the same electrodes or with electrodes made of a different material.

In step C), the surface area of the electrode that is to operate later on in the double-layer capacitor on the side of the allowable potential window that has the lower maximum, potential-dependent charge density is elevated, for example. This has the result that the maximum voltage and the total capacitance of a double-layer capacitor containing electrodes matched to one another by means of this method are higher than in a conventional double-layer capacitor.

The charge densities of the first and second electrode determined at the positive and at the negative edge of the corrosion-free potential window are used to calculate the volume or mass ratio of both electrode according to the following equation and, by modifying the mass and/or volume, matching them to one another:

$$\frac{M^+}{M^-} = \frac{Q_{M, \max}^-}{Q_{M, \max}^+} \quad \text{and/or} \quad \frac{V^+}{V^-} = \frac{Q_{V, \max}^-}{Q_{V, \max}^+}$$

In another advantageous variant of the method, the same electrode material and the same design and dimensions are used for the first and second electrode in steps A) and B). Then, in step C), the mass or the volume of those electrodes with the lower critical charge is increased. An increase in the mass or volume means that, while the electrode materials and the dimensions remain the same, the surface area of the electrode increases, thereby raising the critical charge.

In another advantageous variant of the method according to the invention, in step C), the product

5
$$Q_{V, \max}^+ V^+ = Q_{V, \max}^- V^- \text{ and/or } Q_{M, \max}^+ M^+ = Q_{M, \max}^- M^-$$

is set to be approximately equal for both electrodes. If this product is approximately equal, the maximum charges of the two electrodes are also approximately equal. In this case, the total capacitance and the operating voltage of a double-layer capacitor containing
10 these electrodes are at a maximum.

In the following, the invention will be explained in greater detail on the basis of exemplary embodiments and figures.

15 Figure 1 shows, in model form, the dependency of the potential on the charge.

Figures 2A to 2C schematically show measurement arrangements for determining the potential-dependent charge of an electrode and the test curves obtained with the measurements, respectively.

Figures 3A and 3B show a schematic test setup for leakage current measurement
20 and a measurement curve obtained by means of the test setup.

Figure 4 shows a possible variant of a double-layer capacitor according to the invention.

Figure 5 shows a capacitor coil as an additional exemplary embodiment of a double-layer capacitor according to the invention.

Figure 1 shows, in model form, the dependency of the potential ϕ on the charge Q .

5 In the case of a classic plate capacitor, in which, for example, two flat printed circuit boards, as electrodes, are separated by a dielectric, such as air, both positive potentials, i.e., for the positive electrode, and negative potentials, i.e., for the negative electrode, result in a linear progression 2A or 2B, the capacitance being constant in each case.

10 In a double-layer capacitor, electron-conducting materials (electrodes) are in contact with ion-conducting materials (electrolytes), which, in reality, results in the schematic dependencies of the potential ϕ on the charge Q identified as 4A and 4B, for example. It is evident that the respective dependency of the charge on the potential for positive and negative potentials has different and, in particular, non-linear progressions, so
15 that the capacitance is dependent on the potential. In the double-layer capacitor, the contact between the electron conductor and the electrolyte furthermore leads to corrosion phenomena, which are dependent on potential and can result in destruction of the components. The different magnitudes of the positive and negative critical corrosion potentials 6A and 6B, respectively, in Fig. 1 result in different projections 7A and 7B on
20 the Q axis. These represent the respectively different maximum charges of the electrode at their critical corrosion potentials. A double-layer capacitor should be operated in such a way that charging ends as soon as one of the two electrodes has reached its corrosion

potential (that is, the negative electrode has reached the negative corrosion potential and the positive electrode the positive corrosion potential). The charges of both electrode are always oppositely equal and, with respect to magnitude, exactly equal to the charge supplied to the capacitor by the external current circuit. Because of the potential
5 dependency of the electrode capacitance described above and the non-oppositely equal distances of the corrosion potentials from the potential of the as yet uncharged electrode, one of the electrodes will usually reach its corrosion potential earlier than the other, so that the charging process must be terminated to prevent corrosion. The second electrode is then still at a distance from its corrosion potential and could thus absorb an additional
10 charge. As a result, the volume of material used for this electrode cannot be fully used for energy storage. Thus, the energy density of the double-layer capacitor and the amount of material used per stored electric charge are not optimal.

The fact that, therefore, the maximum potential difference between the electrodes,
15 which is usable in principle, cannot be utilized leads to another disadvantage. The maximum charge voltage of the double-layer capacitor is smaller than the maximum possible value determined by the difference between the critical electrode potentials. Because the operating voltage of a double-layer capacitor cell lies at only a few volts, whereas the applications generally require higher voltages, it is of interest to choose the
20 highest possible voltage for the individual cell, so that the smallest possible number of cells have to be connected in series to achieve the target voltage.

Important parameters that can be modified to match the maximum charges of the electrodes are the surface characteristics of the electrodes, such as their capacitive active surface, which is determined by, among other things, the porosity and the particle size of the material, the corrosion stability of the electrodes and the charge eliminator, and the chemical composition of the electrolyte, e.g., valence and ion radii.

Figure 2A shows, in schematic form, a test setup for determining the potential-dependent charge. It is evident that a reference electrode 10, consisting, for example, of a coiled carbon fabric electrode, is introduced into a measuring cell 15 that contains an electrolyte solution 20. In this connection, aluminum is applied, by means of a spray process, for example, to the carbon fabrics. The first electrode 1 and the second electrode 5, the maximum charges of which are to be determined, are separated from one another by a separator 3. The voltage between the first and the second electrode was set to 0 V.

Subsequently, a difference in potential is set between the electrode 1 and the reference electrode 10. Using the circuit array shown in Figure 2B, and with the aid of a voltage divider 25, it was possible to set the difference in potential to any value within a range of 0 to 3 V, for example. A battery, for example, was used as a DC voltage source 30. The reference electrode 10 and the electrode 1 are integrated into the circuit array of Figure 1B at the test points 39 or 35. Using a frequency response analyzer 60, an AC voltage of 5 mV, for example, is applied between the first electrode 1 and the second electrode 5, which is connected at 41 in Fig. 2B. The voltage multiplier 40 in the circuit

array of Figure 2B ensures that the resulting current response flows through the cell formed by electrodes 1 and 5 and does not escape through the voltage divider 25 and the battery.

5 Following stabilization of the difference in potential between the reference electrode and the electrode 1 after 1 to 2 hours, an impedance measurement between electrodes 1 and 5 was performed at a small amplitude of about 5 mV, in order to measure the differential capacitance of the cell formed by electrodes 1 and 5. Electrode 5 apparently has the same potential relative to the reference electrode as electrode 1, because electrodes 1 and 5 only differ by the small AC voltage of 5 mV applied for the purpose of measuring impedance. Using different settings on the voltage divider 25, it was possible to determine the potential-dependent capacitance of the electrode 1 at various negative differences in potential between the electrode and the reference electrode. After the polarity of the battery had been reversed, it was possible to use the same approach to measure the capacitance of the electrode in the case of a positive difference in potential relative to the reference electrode. In this connection, the reference electrode 10 remains at resting potential, because it has a significantly larger surface area than the two other electrodes 1 or 5 (1.2 cm² for the first and second electrode, for example, and about 100 cm² for the reference electrode, for example). The electrolyte was stirred during the measurements using a floating stirrer, so that the concentration gradient possibly forming in the electrolyte could equalize more quickly. The distance between the first and second electrode was, for example, about 28 μm, and the amount of

electrolyte was, for example, about 30 ml. In the measurements, a solution of 0.5 to 1.5 M tetraethylammonium tetrafluoroborate ($(C_2H_5)_4NBF_4$) in 100% acetonitrile was used as the electrolyte. The two first and second electrodes 1, 5 were dried prior to measurement.

5 Figure 2C shows a measurement curve obtained by means of the measurement arrangement outlined in Figures 2A and 2B. The differential, potential-dependent capacitance, in F/cm^3 , is plotted against the difference in potential between the cell formed by electrodes 1 and 5 and the reference electrode 10. The positive differences in potential between this cell and the reference electrode are plotted to the right of the origin on the x
10 axis and the negative differences in potential to the left of the origin on the x axis. It is evident that the capacitance progressions differ between positive and negative potential. This property was surprisingly discovered by the inventors and is attributable to the different behaviors of the anions and cations of the electrolyte in the electric field. The different volumes of the solvated positively and negatively charged ions, i.e.,
15 tetraethylammonium cations and tetrafluoroborate anions, in the case of the electrolyte solution described above, play as important a role as their mobility in the electrolyte solution and in the pores of the electrodes, as do the charges of the ions. In the case of Fig. 2C, the electrodes 1 and 5, as well as 10, consist of an aluminum foil with a thickness of about 30 μm , for example, to which the activated charcoal powder was applied in a
20 thickness of about 100 μm . The density of the carbon layers of the electrodes 1 and 5 was approximately $0.71 g/cm^3$. Thus, Figure 2C clearly shows that even electrodes made of the same electrode material and having the same design and dimensions exhibit different

differential, potential-dependent capacitances when they have opposite polarity. A carbon fabric electrode with a larger surface area than the working electrodes was used as the reference electrode.

5 Figure 3A shows, schematically, a test setup for determining the leakage current that flows through the electrolyte between electrodes 1 and 5 when a specific difference in potential is set between the reference electrode 10 and the first electrode 1, as the working electrode. The purpose of the leakage current measurements is to discover the positive and/or negative limits in potential, up to which an electrode can be maximally charged.

10 They are also used to determine the critical charge density already described earlier. This is defined as the maximum charge, per volume or mass of the electrode that can be supplied to the electrode without it reaching the corrosion potential. At the corrosion potential, electrochemical processes occur at the electrode that impair the serviceable life of the electrode and, for example, can lead to decomposition of the electrolyte, along with

15 gas formation. Thus, the critical charge density indicates, in a manner of speaking, the maximum charge that can be supplied per volume or mass of the electrode.

 Between the working electrode 1 and the reference electrode 10, such as the carbon fabric electrode mentioned above, the voltage, beginning at 0 V, is increased

20 incrementally and kept constant for a period of approximately 3 hours at each increment. The leakage current flowing through the electrolyte, between the working electrode 1 and the counter-electrode 5, is determined. To obtain a noise-free test signal, a voltage

multiplier 40 (approximately 500 Ω) is connected ahead of the test setup 15. The potential of the counter-electrode relative to the reference electrode was recorded using an additional digital voltmeter. The surface area of both the working electrode and the counter-electrode was about 1 cm², while that of the reference electrode was, once again, about 100 cm². The distance between the working electrode and counter-electrode was about 1 cm. The measurement was performed in the above-mentioned electrolyte at room temperature. The electrolyte volume was approximately 30 ml. The working electrode, the counter-electrode and the reference electrode was heated in a vacuum at 90°C prior to the measurement.

Figure 3B shows a measurement curve obtained by means of the leakage current measurement mentioned above. In this connection, the leakage current is plotted as a factor of the difference in potential between the working electrode 1 and the reference electrode 10.

Figure 4 shows a possible variant of a double-layer capacitor according to the invention, which comprises a layer stack consisting of alternating first electrode layers 1 and second electrode layers 5, with separators 3 disposed between the layers. In a double-layer capacitor according to the invention, the maximum charges of the first and the second electrode layer, given the same electrode materials, are adjusted by using different thicknesses of the electrode layers 1, 5. Electrode terminals 55 located on the housing 45 of the double-layer capacitor can be contacted by way of protruding small metal bands 50.

Figure 5 shows another possible embodiment of a double-layer capacitor according to the invention, in the form of a capacitor coil. In this case, a first electrode layer 1, a separator 3 as well as the second electrode layer 5 are coiled around a core tube or mandrel, which, after being removed, leaves the hole 55. In this embodiment of the double-layer capacitor, the respective electrode layers can also be contacted by way of protruding small metal bands 50 and, for adjustment of the maximum charges, have, for example, different thicknesses with identical electrode material.

The invention is not limited to the exemplary embodiments described here. Other variations are possible, both with respect to the design of the electrodes and with respect to the structural shape of the capacitor. In this case, a matching of the maximum charges always occurs for a specific electrolyte, because different electrolytes cause different maximum charges and can thus require different measures for matching.